

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/768,629	01/29/2004	Karla Weaver	10123/00901	6763	
Patrick J. Fay,	7590 05/12/2008 Esq.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP			WACHTEL, EMILY L		
Suite 702 150 Broadway	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10038			3767		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/768,629	WEAVER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
EMILY WACHTEL	3767		

	EMILY WACHTEL	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued at 70 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-event by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
			DT-01 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
See Continuation Sheet.						
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Emily Wachtel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a mounting portion which covers a minority of the lump cocluding portion in which the slit is disposed. Regarding a holding of obviousness, the prior art of record must suggest a teaching to one of ordinary skill in the art though it may not be explicitly shown. The device of Orbinger suggests the recited limitation in Figure 2 regarding dispharegm 17. Further, Orbinger explicitly teaches varying the diameters of the openings surrounding the slit in order to control the flow (Col. 2 lines 50-51) and that the length of the slit would be equal to or greater than the diameter (Col. 2 lines 40-41). Thereby, when floresing the size of the slit, and increasing the diameters of the openings surrounding the slit to control the flow the mounting portion would cover a minority of the surface area.